



Plant Signaling & Behavior

Taylor & Franci ISSN: (Print) 1559-2324 (Online) Journal homepage: http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/kpsb20

SIPRA1A/RAB attenuate EIX immune responses via degradation of LeEIX2 pattern recognition receptor

L. Pizarro, M. Leibman-Markus, S. Schuster, M. Bar & A. Avni

To cite this article: L. Pizarro, M. Leibman-Markus, S. Schuster, M. Bar & A. Avni (2018) SIPRA1A/RAB attenuate EIX immune responses via degradation of LeEIX2 pattern recognition receptor, Plant Signaling & Behavior, 13:5, e1467689, DOI: 10.1080/15592324.2018.1467689

To link to this article: https://doi.org/10.1080/15592324.2018.1467689



Published online: 26 Jun 2018.



🕼 Submit your article to this journal 🗗





🕖 View Crossmark data 🗹

ARTICLE ADDENDUM

Taylor & Francis Group

Taylor & Francis

SIPRA1A/RAB attenuate EIX immune responses via degradation of LeEIX2 pattern recognition receptor

L. Pizarro^a, M. Leibman-Markus^a, S. Schuster^a, M. Bar ^b, and A. Avni ^a

^aSchool of Plant Sciences and Food Security, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel; ^bDepartment of Plant Pathology and Weed Research, ARO, The Volcani Center, Rishon LeZion, Israel

ABSTRACT

Pattern recognition receptors (PRR) are plasma membrane (PM) proteins that recognize microbe-associated molecular patterns (MAMPs), triggering an immune response. PRR are classified as receptor like kinases (RLKs) or receptor like proteins (RLPs). The PM localization of PRRs, which is crucial for their availability to sense MAMPs, depends on their appropriate trafficking through the endomembrane system. Recently, we have identified SIPRA1A, a prenylated RAB acceptor type-1 (PRA1) from *S. lycopersicum*, as a regulator of RLP-PRR localization and protein levels. SIPRA1A overexpression strongly decreases RLP-PRR protein levels, particularly those of LEEIX2, redirecting it to the vacuole for degradation. Interestingly, SIPRA1A does not affect RLK-PRRs, indicating its activity to be specific to RLP-PRR systems. As PRA1 proteins stabilize RABs on membranes, promoting RABs activity, we aimed to identify a RAB target of SIPRA1A. Screening of a set of *A. thaliana* RABs revealed that AtRABA1e is able to mimic SIPRA1A positive punctuated structures. These results indicate that AtRABA1e is a putative target of SIPRA1A activity. Through live cell imaging, we observed that AtRABA1e is a putative target of SIPRA1, and a co-regulator of LEEIX2 trafficking and degradation.

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 29 March 2018 Accepted 16 April 2018

KEYWORDS Rabs; PRR; intracellular trafficking; plant immunity

Recognition of microbe-associated molecular patterns (MAMPs) depends on plasma membrane (PM) receptors termed pattern recognition receptors (PRRs), which lead to activation of signal transduction upon microbe perception/ recognition.^{1,2} PRRs traffic from the endoplasmic reticulum, where they are synthesized, through different endomembrane compartments to the PM, were they function to bind MAMPs. Therefore, PRR localization at the PM is pivotal to enable a proper and efficient immune response.³ PRRs are classified in two groups; receptor like kinases (RLKs) and receptor like proteins (RLPs), according to the presence or absence of a kinase domain, respectively.⁴

Recently, we have identified SIPRA1A, a prenylated RAB acceptor type-1 (PRA1A) protein from *Solanum*,³ as a component of the trafficking machinery involved in PRR-trafficking and immunity ⁵). SIPRA1A regulates trafficking of RLP-PRRs and LeEIX2 in particular, but not of RLK-PRRs such as FLS2, demonstrating SIPRA1A specificity for PRR regulation.⁵ LeEIX2 is a *S. lycopersicum* RLP-PRR that recognizes the fungal MAMP – EIX, triggering immune responses characterized by oxidative burst, induction of ethylene production and hypersensitive response.^{6–9} We have demonstrated that LeEIX2 PM localization and protein level are highly diminished upon SIPRA1A over-expression, due to redirection of LeEIX2 to the vacuole where it is degraded.⁵ Consequentlly, LeEIX2 depletion mediated by SIPRA1A, strongly decreases LeEIX2s sensing capabilities, impairing the plant immune response to this MAMP.

RABs are small GTPases which play an important role in endomembrane trafficking, being implicated in vesicle fusion

at the target compartment, where they are accumulate.¹⁰ Endomembrane trafficking of proteins is highly dynamic and highly dependent on RAB function.^{10,11} Overexpression or loss of function of these proteins can generate significant changes in protein trafficking, cell functioning and plant physiology.¹¹ Several reports show the significant role that RABs play in plant immunity; in secretion of defense components and in hypersensitive response execution.^{12–15} Indeed, RABs can be target for inhibition by bacterial effectors, secreted by pathogens ¹⁶ or targeted for hijacking during viral infection.¹⁷ PRA1 proteins regulate RABs by stabilizing their location at cell membranes.¹⁸ Promoting RAB activity and consequently the trafficking mediated by them.¹⁹ In this context, it will beintriguing to identify the putative RAB target regulated by SIPRA1A and establish its regulatory role in LeEIX2 trafficking and degradation.

We have performed a screen, overexpressing a set of RAB proteins from *Arabidopsis thaliana*, searching for RABs which can mimic the effect of SlPRA1A overexpression on EIX defense responses.⁵ Oxidative burst after EIX exposure was measured to test RABs effect on LeEIX2 mediated defense responses, (Figure 1). Among analyzed AtRABs, AtRABA1e, an early endo-somal/Trans-Golgi Network (EE/TGN) RAB,²⁰ showed a diminished response to EIX treatment, resembling the effect of SlPRA1A (Figure 1A). Interestingly, another EE/TGN RAB, RABD2b that highly colocalized with SlPRA1A,⁵ did not affect the oxidative burst triggered by EIX (Figure 1A). Additionally, AtARA6 and AtARA7, two extensively studied late endosomal RABs,^{21,22} did not significantly affect EIX induced defense



Figure 1. Effect of RABs on EIX induced oxidative burst. A) ROS oxidative burst was measured in *N. tabacum* transiently expressing free mCherry (control), SIPRA1A-mCherry, AtRABA1e-mCherry, AtRABD2b-mCherry, ARA6-GFP or ARA7-GFP using a luminol luminescence-based system. ROS production is normalized to the peak value of the control. Asterisks represent statistical significance (* p-value ≤ 0.05 , ** p-value ≤ 0.01 , *** p-value ≤ 0.001) in two-way ANOVA and Bonferroni post-tests. Data are represented as mean \pm SEM. B) Confocal microscopy images of *N. benthamiana* epidermal cells transiently expressing SIPRA1A-GFP or free-eGFP as control (green) and AtRABA1e-mCherry (magenta). Representative images are shown. Scale bar 5 µm. White arrowheads point to SIPRA1A compartments co-localizing with AtRABA1e. Pearson correlation coefficient of the co-localization between SIPRA1A and the markers (N = 15). Data presented as mean \pm SEM.

responses (Figure 1A). Previous studies using the PRR FLS2 showed that FLS2 is localized in AtARA7 and AtARA6 compartments after elicitation, and that ARA7 function is needed for FLS2 endocytic trafficking.^{12,23} In our experiments we observed a slight (not significant) increase in the oxidative burst when AtARA6 and AtARA7 are overexpressed (Figure 1A). Further experiments should be undertaken to explore the role of these two RABs in EIX induced defense responses.

The oxidative burst results suggest that AtRABA1e, may be a specific candidate for SIPRA1A regulation. AtRABA1e is involved in cell plate formation.²⁴ However, its role in immune defense has not been described so far. Using live cell imaging, we observed high colocalization between SIPRA1A and AtRABA1e, providing a subcellular platform where they could interact (Figure 1B). Interestingly, while AtRABA1e is mainly localized in the cytoplasm in control conditions, we observed that co-expression with SIPRA1A strongly increased AtRABA1e localization in punctuated structures that SIPRA1A positive structures (Figure 1B). The shift in AtRABA1e localization, supports a possible role of SIPRA1A in stabilization of AtRABA1e at the membrane, promoting its activity. Taken together the role of SIPRA1A in driving LeEIX2 to vacuolar degradation ⁵ the effect of AtRABA1e on EIX induced oxidative burst (Figure 1A) and the effect of SIPRA1A on AtRABA1e localization (Figure 1B) lead us to hypothesize that AtRABA1e is a target of SIPRA1A regulation, and together they regulate LeEIX2 trafficking and degradation.

Here we identified a putative target of SIPRA1A regulation using *A. thaliana* RABs. We now intent to isolate the *S. lycopersicum* ortholog of AtRABA1e and determine its role as a SIPRA1A target in an endogenous system. We seek to continue deciphering the trafficking machinery regulating LeEIX2 at the protein and sub-cellular levels and investigate its linkage with EIX immune responses.

Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest

No potential conflicts of interest were disclosed.

Funding

This work was supported by the BARD, The United States — Israel Binational Agriculture Research and Development Fund [IS-4842-15 R];Chief Scientist of the Israel Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development [13-37-0001];United States - Israel Binational Science Foundation [2013227];

ORCID

M. Bar (b) http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7823-9121 A. Avni (b) http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2092-9768

References

- Newman MA, Sundelin T, Nielsen JT, Erbs G. MAMP (microbeassociated molecular pattern) triggered immunity in plants. Front Plant Sci. 2013;4:139. doi:10.3389/fpls.2013.00139.
- Thomma BP, Nurnberger T, Joosten MH. Of PAMPs and effectors: the blurred PTI-ETI dichotomy. Plant Cell. 2011;23:4–15. doi:10.1105/tpc.110.082602.
- Ben Khaled S, Postma J, Robatzek S. A moving view: subcellular trafficking processes in pattern recognition receptor-triggered plant immunity. Annu Rev Phytopathol. 2015;53:379. doi:10.1146/annurev-phyto-080614-120347.
- Tor M, Lotze MT, Holton N. Receptor-mediated signalling in plants: molecular patterns and programmes. J Exp Bot. 2009;60:3645–3654. doi:10.1093/jxb/erp233.
- Pizarro L, Leibman-Markus M, Schuster S, Bar M, Meltz T, Avni A. Tomato prenylated RAB acceptor protein 1 modulates trafficking and degradation of the pattern recognition receptor LeEIX2, affecting the innate immune response. Front Plant Sci. 2018; 9:257.
- Bailey BA, Dean JF, Anderson JD. An ethylene biosynthesis-inducing endoxylanase elicits electrolyte leakage and necrosis in nicotiana tabacum cv xanthi leaves. Plant Physiol. 1990;94:1849–1854. doi:10.1104/pp.94.4.1849.
- Elbaz M, Avni A, Weil M. Constitutive caspase-like machinery executes programmed cell death in plant cells. Cell Death Differ. 2002;9:726–733. doi:10.1038/sj.cdd.4401030.
- Laxalt AM, Raho N, Have AT, Lamattina L. Nitric oxide is critical for inducing phosphatidic acid accumulation in xylanase-elicited tomato cells. J Biol Chem. 2007;282:21160–21168. doi:10.1074/jbc. M701212200.
- Ron M, Kantety R, Martin GB, Avidan N, Eshed Y, Zamir D, Avni A. High-resolution linkage analysis and physical characterization of the EIX-responding locus in tomato. Theor Appl Genet. 2000;100:184–189.
- Stenmark H. Rab GTPases as coordinators of vesicle traffic. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2009;10:513–525. doi:10.1038/nrm2728.
- Woollard AA, Moore I. The functions of Rab GTPases in plant membrane traffic. Curr Opin Plant Biol. 2008;11:610–619. doi:10.1016/j.pbi.2008.09.010.
- Choi SW, Tamaki T, Ebine K, Uemura T, Ueda T, Nakano A. RABA members act in distinct steps of subcellular trafficking of the FLAGELLIN SENSING2 receptor. Plant Cell. 2013;25:1174– 1187. doi:10.1105/tpc.112.108803.
- Jiang ZN, Wang H, Zhang GQ, Zhao RH, Bie TD, Zhang RQ, Gao DR, Xing LP, Cao AZ. Characterization of a small GTP-binding protein gene TaRab18 from wheat involved in the stripe rust

resistance. Plant Physiol Bioch. 2017;113:40-50. doi:10.1016/j. plaphy.2017.01.025.

- Kwon SI, Cho HJ, Kim SR, Park OK. The Rab GTPase RabG3b positively regulates autophagy and immunity-associated hypersensitive cell death in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol. 2013;161:1722–1736. doi:10.1104/pp.112.208108.
- Nielsen ME, Jurgens G, Thordal-Christensen H. VPS9a activates the Rab5 GTPase ARA7 to confer distinct pre- and postinvasive plant innate immunity. Plant Cell. 2017;29:1927–1937. doi:10.1105/ tpc.16.00859.
- Ellinger D, Glockner A, Koch J, Naumann M, Sturtz V, Schutt K, Manisseri C, Somerville SC, Voigt CA. Interaction of the Arabidopsis GTPase RabA4c with its effector PMR4 results in complete penetration resistance to powdery mildew. Plant Cell 2014;26:3185–200.
- 17. Huang YP, Jhuo JH, Tsai MS, Tsai CH, Chen HC, Lin NS, Hsu YH, Cheng CP. NbRABG3f, a member of Rab GTPase, is involved in Bamboo mosaic virus infection in Nicotiana benthamiana. Mol Plant Pathol. 2016;17:714–726.
- Hutt DM, Da-Silva LF, Chang LH, Prosser DC, Ngsee JK. PRA1 inhibits the extraction of membrane-bound rab GTPase by GDI1. J Biol Chem. 2000;275:18511–18519. doi:10.1074/jbc.M909309199.
- Figueroa C, Taylor J, Vojtek AB. Prenylated Rab acceptor protein is a receptor for prenylated small GTPases. J Biol Chem. 2001;276:28219–28225. doi:10.1074/jbc.M101763200.
- Geldner N, Denervaud-Tendon V, Hyman DL, Mayer U, Stierhof YD, Chory J. Rapid, combinatorial analysis of membrane compartments in intact plants with a multicolor marker set. Plant J. 2009;59:169–178. doi:10.1111/j.1365-313X.2009.03851.x.
- 21. Ebine K, Fujimoto M, Okatani Y, Nishiyama T, Goh T, Ito E, Dainobu T, Nishitani A, Uemura T, Sato MH, Thordal-Christensen H, Tsutsumi N, Nakano A, Ueda T. A membrane trafficking pathway regulated by the plant-specific RAB GTPase ARA6. Nat Cell Biol. 2011;13:853–859.
- Lee GJ, Sohn EJ, Lee MH, Hwang I. The Arabidopsis rab5 homologs rha1 and ara7 localize to the prevacuolar compartment. Plant Cell Physiol. 2004;45:1211–1220. doi:10.1093/pcp/pch142.
- Beck M, Zhou J, Faulkner C, MacLean D, Robatzek S. Spatiotemporal cellular dynamics of the arabidopsis flagellin receptor reveal activation status-dependent endosomal sorting. Plant Cell. 2012;24:4205–4219. doi:10.1105/tpc.112.100263.
- 24. Davis DJ, McDowell SC, Park E, Hicks G, Wilkop TE, Drakakak IG. The RAB GTPase RABA1e localizes to the cell plate and shows distinct subcellular behavior from RABA2a under Endosidin 7 treatment. Plant Signal Behav. 2015. 10: e984520.